



# Sacramento County District Attorney's Office

**ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT**  
District Attorney

Rod Norgaard  
Chief Deputy

Michael M. Blazina  
Assistant District Attorney

July 21, 2022

Non Violent Parole Review Process  
Board of Parole Hearings  
Correspondence – NV  
P.O. Box 4036  
Sacramento, CA 95812-4036

**Re: Monroe, Kevin      CDC # T52275      Court Docket: 11F02967**

Inmate Monroe is violent. He repeatedly engages in violent acts. The circumstances surrounding his current conviction and his prior criminal record demonstrate that he poses an unreasonable risk of violence to the community.

The commitment offenses occurred on April 25, 2011. The victims returned home to find their door broken open and Inmate Monroe inside of their residence. The witness reported that Monroe was armed with a baseball bat. Monroe ran towards one of the victims and struck him in the head with the bat before fleeing the scene.

In this case, additional charges were dismissed in light of the plea. These charges were not dismissed for lack of evidence. The negotiated plea of 26 years in prison took into consideration the violence, dangerousness, and callousness of Monroe's conduct.

Monroe's criminal history contains incidents involving violence, the use of weapons, and residential burglaries. Inmate Monroe was convicted of a robbery and sent to prison in 2002. He pled to a single robbery count; however, he was initially charged with two counts of armed robbery against two separate victims. Upon release from prison for that violent strike, he committed another strike offense in 2006. This time he returned to prison for a residential burglary. Once released from prison, he returned to his usual pattern including committing the crimes underlying his current commitment offense.

The four-page Board of Parole Hearings Review Decision, dated August 20, 2021, identified several aggravating factors underlying the Board's denial of Inmate Monroe's prior request for parole release. The Board noted that Inmate Monroe was personally armed with a weapon and injured two victims during the commission of current offenses. The Board observed that the defendant's prior criminal convictions coupled with his current convictions demonstrate a pattern of assaultive behavior: "His criminal activity continued almost without interruption for the better part of two decades and his criminal behavior included violent and assaultive behavior, and it continued unabated despite prior incarceration and rehabilitative attempts. This ongoing criminal and assaultive mindset is highly relevant to his current risk and outweighs the mitigating

circumstance of his statutorily violent offense having occurred more than 15 years ago.” Additionally, inmate Monroe was incarcerated for the current felony convictions within five years of his prior convictions. Finally, per the Board’s review, Inmate Monroe’s institutional behavior, work history, and rehabilitative programming aggravate the inmate’s current risk of violence “given to the inmate’s lack of sustained relevant rehabilitative/self-help programming designed to address the causative factors behind his entrenched issues with assaultive and violent criminal behavior.” The Board noted that “[t]he inmate must participate in programming that addresses the issues leading to the commitment offense for a sustained period in order to reduce such enhanced risk. Because the inmate has limited participation in programming, there is insufficient evidence that the inmate has materially reduced such enhanced risk.”

As we are given 30 days to respond, and we are not provided with disciplinary history or additional institutional information aside from the four-page parole review decision, I cannot comment more specifically on Inmate Monroe’s prison conduct. However, from the available record, it is clear that Inmate Monroe should not be released as he poses a significant, unreasonable risk of violence to the community. Parole should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tate Davis". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Tate Davis  
Deputy District Attorney  
Sacramento County District Attorney’s Office