

Sacramento County District Attorney's Office THIEN HO District Attorney

April 28, 2023

Non Violent Parole Review Process Board of Parole Hearings Correspondence – NV P.O. Box 4036 Sacramento, CA 95812-4036

Re: Huggins, Thyochus CDC #F10321 Court Docket: 17FE008641

Inmate Thyochus Huggins has made a career of terrorizing Northern California communities by continually burglarizing businesses and homes. His parole should be denied at this time as he poses an unreasonable risk of violence to the community and should remain in custody to serve his sentence.

As an adult, his behavior escalated to using a pry bar during a commercial burglary in San Jose in 2004. That resulted in a conviction for a violation of Penal Code section 459 (second degree burglary). He was initially granted probation and eventually sentenced to state prison upon violating that grant.

Within about four months of being paroled for the 2004 burglary, Inmate Huggins was caught burglarizing multiple homes in the same Fremont neighborhood. In July 2007, police were called out to multiple residential burglaries after neighbor witnesses reported seeing an unknown man knocking on front doors and entering the backyards when nobody answered. After searching multiple burglarized homes for a suspect, officers finally found Inmate Huggins inside one of the burglarized homes. Not only had he ransacked that residence for electronics and jewelry, but he also matched the descriptions given by other neighbors of the person who burglarized the other homes in the neighborhood that same day. As a result of this burglary series, he was convicted of only one count of violating Penal Code section 459 (first degree residential burglary) and sentenced to four years state prison.

Inmate was paroled in 2009 and immediately continued to burglarize homes. Within a month from his prison release, Inmate was caught burglarizing multiple homes in the exact same way he had previously. He entered the back door of one home and stole multiple valuable items, including electronics and jewelry. He then went to another home in the neighborhood and

knocked on the front door. The resident was inside but did not answer the door. Inmate Huggins went into the backyard of the home, but the owner saw him and yelled at him to leave, and Inmate Huggins ran off. Officers arrested him nearby. As a result of these crimes, Inmate Huggins was convicted of two counts of violating Penal Code section 459 (first degree residential burglary) and sentenced to six years and eight months state prison.

Inmate Huggins was granted early NVSS release from that commitment in March 2016 and by May, was already burglarizing more homes. While the residents were out, Inmate entered their home through the side door and ransacked the home for valuables, taking many items including purses and jewelry. Immediately after, the Victims began receiving notifications that someone was making suspicious debit and credit card purchases at local stores. Inmate was identified as the person using those cards on surveillance and multiple items of the Victim's property were recovered in his car. These crimes resulted in the current commitment after Inmate Huggins was convicted by jury of one count of violating Penal Code section 459 (first degree residential burglary), one count of violating Penal Code section 530.5(a) (identity theft), and one count violating Penal Code section 496(a) (receiving stolen property). Inmate was initially sentenced to 22 years state prison, but that sentence was eventually greatly reduced due to changes in the law made while the case was pending appeal.

While we are given 30 days to respond, we are not provided with any disciplinary history or any other information aside from the one-page notice of parole review. However, the record that is available is plenty to show exactly what will happen upon this Inmate's release from custody – he will immediately resume burglarizing businesses and homes as he has done on each of his three prior releases. His record shows no remorse, no rehabilitation, and certainly no indication that he will stop committing burglaries. Additionally, the fact that he was previously granted the benefit of early release under the non-violent offender program and was immediately, within two months, back at terrorizing the community with the same exact crime, should weigh heavily against him receiving the same opportunity again. It is clear that Inmate Huggins should not be released as he has unrelentingly refused to conform his behavior to the confines of the law and continues to pose a significant, unreasonable risk of violence to the community. I urge this Board not to overlook this Inmate's consistent pattern of immediately reoffending and to deny parole at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Teal Ericson

Deputy District Attorney

Peal Erusa

Sacramento County District Attorney's Office